

() HURON

New Economic Framework: CAPRA Update for Chairs/Directors

Stony Brook University October 28, 2024

huronconsultinggroup.com

HURON | 2

New Economic Framework Project Background

Based on collective concerns with our existing budget model, we have endeavored to explore a new budget model to incentivize activities aligned with our collective strategic goals.

Definitions

- <u>Budget:</u> The amount of funds available for a unit to spend
- <u>Budget Model</u>: The approach, inclusive of allocation methodologies, policies, and supporting management structures, that determines the budget of each unit



The Challenge

- Stony Brook's model has inadvertently created incentives that do not support innovative activities or campus cooperation
- This has caused a degradation in campus moral and undermined those with an entrepreneurial spirit



The Goal

- Identify, design, and implement a new budget model that aligns Stony Brook's strategic plan, institutional priorities, and its budget
- This **new budget framework** should:
 - 1. Put agency and authority in units
 - 2. Increase financial transparency and promote multi-year planning
 - 3. Limit perverse incentives and mercenary-like approaches
 - 4. Encourage and facilitate collaboration

Project Structure

Leadership in partnership with a steering committee comprised of faculty, staff, senate reps, and administrators (including deans) is identifying, designing, and implementing a new budget model that aligns Stony Brook's strategic plan, institutional priorities, and available resources.



- Established understanding of current state funds flow
- Conducted initial stakeholder engagement and identified themes supporting the need for change¹
- Finalized FY23 Actuals Model within New Economic Framework structure
- Developed and refined Preliminary Model based on Steering Committee design recommendations
- Shared project progress with key stakeholders and solicited feedback on model design
- Continue to refine Stony Brook's customized model recommendation for executive leadership approval
- Develop standard documentation around variable and data timing, budget construction process, and central funding distributions
- Create draft version of model management structure to promote successful implementation for the targeted "go-live" year
- **Expand modeling efforts** to include FY24 and FY25 budget data

Guiding Principles

The Steering Committee developed and approved these guiding principles to anchor and guide model design conversations.

The institution's approach to resource allocation should encompass the below attributes:

- **Strategic and Integrated**: Support integrated planning focused on alignment with strategic objectives, core mission, and values of the institution and avoid promoting decisions based on parochial perspectives.
- **Transparent and Understandable:** Deploy a transparent budget methodology and process that is easy to understand and clearly communicated.
- Incentivize Responsible Leadership: Empower individual unit leaders to make decisions aligned to mission critical operations to incentivize entrepreneurship and provide adequate funding to be in line with the strategic priorities of the University and in support of their unit. Accountability is expected and based on unit performance.
- **Supports Engagement**: Foster stakeholder engagement through two-way discussions between entities and promote collective accountability through a shared understanding of external markets and internal economies.
- Incentive Focused: Maximize incentives to create new opportunities and maintain mission critical operations throughout the entire institution.
- **Consistent and Data-Driven**: Use a fair and consistent methodology and analysis of trusted and measurable data.
- Inclusive of All Funds: Include all sources of funding, including tuition, state appropriations, restricted and unrestricted income, direct and indirect funding, earned revenue, expendable gifts, and endowment spending allotment.

Represents adopted updates from President McCormick



How Funds Flow: Incremental vs Incentive-based Models





Undergraduate Tuition Revenue Allocation

The Steering Committee recommended an undergraduate tuition distribution methodology that aligns with industry standard practices for institutions that utilize an incentive-based approach.

Tuition Allocated to College of Record

- + Promotes recruitment
- Does not support direct costs of instruction
- Can lead to "holding company" mentality

Tuition Allocated to College of Instruction

- + Supports direct costs of instruction
- Can lead to course competition and redundancy
- Misaligned incentives for academic advising



Tuition Revenue Distribution Example

The tables below provide an illustrative example of how tuition revenue is allocated to units based on their proportional share of student credit hours as recommended by the Steering Committee.

Total Allocable Undergraduate Tuition: \$100,000,000						
Credit Hour Type	Allocation Split	Allocable Tuition Pool Dollars	Total Credit Hours	"College A" Credit Hours	"College A" Percent of Credit Hours	"College A" Tuition Allocation ("A" x "B")
SCH Course College of Instruction - Undergraduate	75%	\$75,000,000	200,000	90,000	45%	\$33,750,000
SCH Student College of Record – Undergraduate	25%	\$25,000,000	200,000	40,000	20%	\$5,000,000
Undergraduate Total	100%	\$100,000,000				\$38,750,000

Total Graduate Tuition		\$70,000,000				
Less: Directly Assigned Tuition ¹		(\$20,000,000)				
Total Allocable Graduate Tuition:		\$50,000,000				
Credit Hour Type	Allocation Split	Allocable Tuition Pool Dollars	Total Credit Hours	"College A" Credit Hours	"College A" Percent of Credit Hours	"College A" Tuition Allocation <i>("A" x "B")</i>
SCH Course College of Instruction – Graduate	90%	\$45,000,000	120,000	30,000	25%	\$11,250,000
SCH Student College of Record – Graduate	10%	\$5,000,000	120,000	40,000	33%	\$1,666,667
Graduate Total	100%	\$50,000,000				\$12,916,667

1. Differential Tuition and SUTRA contract courses

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 Huron Consulting Group Inc. and affiliates.

Student Aid Allocation

Financial Aid is treated as a contra-revenue, resulting in a net-tuition view. The allocation of aid contrarevenue depends on the funding source and which unit makes aid distribution decisions.



1. Recruitment scholarships.

State Appropriations Revenue Allocation

The Steering Committee recommended using state appropriations to bolster or create additional incentives that align with Stony Brook's strategic priorities.



1. Applies to general state appropriations. State funding designated for 1A Special Appropriations, Union Retro Payments, and the NYS Faculty Fund are removed from the allocable pool and are directly distributed to the appropriate unit.

2. Calculations that helped the Steering Committee arrive at this initial percentage are included in the appendix.



Important Features of New Model

- Special tuition return cases no longer special
- IDC funds flow directly to the unit
- Direct allocation of funds to units means many things central paid for are now covered by the Schools/Colleges (S/C)
 - The Grad School would likely no longer allocate TAs and distribute TORG Grad Dean could have more strategic role
 - Units cover their space costs
- Provost Centers/Institutes (C/Is) receive their funds from Cost Pool Allocations (based on existing funding) while S/C-level C/Is receive their funding from S/C allocations
- Model operates at S/C level, each S/C must then evolve its approach for flow to departments/units
- For the initial years of the model, subvention is set to hold S/C harmless and provide time/opportunity for S/C to respond to factors impacting their budget

Service Pool Allocations Reminder

Net expenditures from the various Service Pools are allocated to Revenue Units using recommended activity-level metrics.



Service Pool Details (1/2)

The Steering Committee recommended the formation of seven distinct Service Pools comprised of Stony Brook's administrative and athletics departments for the purposes of allocating costs to Revenue Units.

Service Pool ¹	Sample Components	Activity Driver
Academic	 Academic Administration (Provost) Brookhaven Affairs CELT Enrollment & Retention Graduate School International Academic Programs Library Biomedical Library² HSC Student Services Southampton Academic Affairs Staller Center Undergraduate Affairs 	 50% Student FTE 50% Faculty FTE³
Athletics	 Athletics Administration Athletics Endowments & Scholarships Camps and Clinics Team Sports 	 Allocated Undergraduate Tuition
Centers & Institutes	 Behavioral Political Economy C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics Center for Biotechnology Alda Center for Communicating Science Consortium for Inter-Disciplinary Environmental Research (CIDER) Institute for Advanced for Computational Science Institute of Energy: Sustainability, Environment, and Equity Institute for Chemical Biology Institute for Chemical Biology Institute of Chemical Biology Institute for Chemical Biology Institute for Chemical Biology 	 50% Research Expenditures 50% Faculty Participation

1. Service unit expenses will be netted against service unit revenues which results in the **distribution of net expenditures** allocations to Revenue Units

2. Biomedical Library resides within the School of Medicine in SBU's chart of accounts

3. Clinical non-instructional faculty are excluded from metric

Service Pool Details (2/2)

The Steering Committee recommended the formation of seven distinct Service Pools comprised of Stony Brook's administrative and athletics departments for the purposes of allocating costs to Revenue Units.

Service Pool ¹	Sample Components		Activity Driver
Facilities	HSC FacilitiesUtilities	 VP for Facilities and Services 	 Net Assignable Square Footage
General Administration	 Advancement Communications Emergency Management Environmental Health & Safety² Executive Office FP&A Government and Community Relations 	 Human Resources HSC Administration³ IT Office of Administration University Police VP Finance 	 Direct Expenditures
Research Administration	 Economic Development Office of Grants Management Office of Research Compliance Office of Sponsored Programs 	 Office of Technology Licensing Research Administration Research Development and Assessment 	 Research Expenditures
Student Affairs	 Career Center Commuter Student Services Dean of Students Office of Student Affairs 	 Student Health and Wellness⁴ Student Union VP for Student Affairs 	 Student FTE based on College of Record

1. Service unit expenses will be netted against service unit revenues which results in the **distribution of net expenditures** allocations to Revenue Units.

2. Hazardous Waste Disposal and Radiation Safety & Laboratory Safety components are in the Research Admin cost pool.

3. HSC Administration includes HSC Development & Alumni Affairs, HSC Admin, HSC Advancement, HSC Compliance, and HSC VP.

4. Student Health and Wellness encompasses Campus Recreation, Center for Prevention & Outreach, Student Accessibility Services, and University Counseling Center.

Central Funding Mechanism

The Central Funding Mechanism enables Stony Brook to act as one entity with respect to key initiatives by creating pools of resources to address unit-level subsidies and strategic initiatives.

Central Funding Mechanism Principles

- The model uses a participation fee (or "tax") to generate the central fund. Having a diverse revenue portfolio rather than a single source provides enhanced stability
- Funding size should enable leadership to "steer," which will ultimately benefit the university mission
- The Strategic Initiative Pool can be a useful management tool to help **fund long-term initiatives** by advancing capital and provide critical subsidies to kick-start initiatives
- Funding size should ensure "neutral starting points" at implementation; thus, the rate should be high enough to ensure surpluses are available to fill Revenue Unit deficits due to model changes



Baseline Model Orientation

The model utilizes actual FY 2023 actual general ledger data for illustrative purposes. Numbers and participation rates are subject to change based on model refinements and the availability of additional data sources.



Tuition, Fees, and Aid: Tuition and waivers are allocated balancing credit hours of instruction and of record



State Appropriations: Used to target priorities consistent with Stony Brook's strategic plan.



Support Unit Costs: Academic units are assessed a proportionate share of indirect costs



Central Funding Mechanism: Used to fund a pool for strategic investments and/or top-off primary units

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
			Stony Brook University		College A	
			FY23 Baseline Model	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total
	1	Allocation	Revenues	RON	115	
	2	Formula	Undergraduate On Campus Tuition, Gross	1,029,356		1,029,356
	3	Formula	Graduate On Campus Tuition, Gross	7,139,783		7,139,783
	4	Formula	Undergraduate Online Tuition, Gross	13,280	-	13,280
	5	Formula	Graduate Online Tuition, Gross	343,658	-	343,658
	6	Direct	Contract Tuition (SUTRA)	-	-	-
	7	Direct	Differential Tuition	899,216	-	899,216
	8	Formula	Less: Student Aid: Central Aid	(1,675,349)	-	(1,675,349
	9	Direct	Less: Student Aid: Local	122,500	(20, 338)	102,162
	10	Direct	Less: Tuition Waivers	-	-	-
	11	Direct	Less: Graduate Tuition Waivers	(20,468)	-	(20,468
	12	Formula	Student Fees: Comprehensive	630,629	-	630,629
	13	Direct	Student Fees: Course	-	-	-
	14	Direct	Student Fees: Other	-	-	-
	15		Total Net Tuition and Fees	8,482,605	(20,338)	
	16	Formula	State Support	3,870,924	-	3,870,924
B	17	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - Special Appropriations	-	-	-
	18 19	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - Union Retro Payment	- 321,226	-	321,226
	20	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - NY Faculty Fund Total State Appropriations		-	4,192,150
	20	Direct	Government Grants and Contracts	-+, 102, 130	2,269,215	2,269,215
	22	Formula	Indirect Cost Recovery	426,051		426,051
	23	Direct	Other Research Income			
	24	Direct	Private Grants and Contracts	-	72,668	72,668
	25		Total Grants and Contracts	426,051	2,341,883	2,767,934
	26	Direct	Donations	-	34,692	34,692
	27	Direct	Interest and Investment Income	-	7,816	7,816
	28	Direct	Other Income	-	-	-
	29	Direct	Self Supporting Revenue (IFR)	119,650	2,056,894	2,176,544
	30	Direct	Services and Fees Revenue	-	-	-
	31		Total Other Operating Revenues	119,650	2,099,402	2,219,052
	32		Total Operating Revenues	13,220,457	4,420,947	17,641,404
	33		Expenses			
	34	Dire		(4 8,563)	,914)	(5,895,476
	35	Dire	Tem men Frinz Benefits	(6 544	(220,978)	(866,522
	36	Dire	Erine Benefits	(10)	(757, 168)	(864,649
	37	Direct	Fringe Benefits Temporary Svc	-	(158, 159)	(158,159
	38	D . 1	Total Salaries & Wages	(4,981,588)	(2,803,218)	(7,784,806
	39 40	Direct	Administrative Overhead Contractual Services	(146,626) 54,666	(63,068)	(146,626) (8,402)
	40	Direct	General and Administrative	54,000		
	42	Direct	Lease and Rental Expense	(140.174)	(52,666) (109,992)	(52,666)
	43	Direct	Other Expense	(33,612)	(817,055)	(850,667
	44	Direct	Repairs and Maintenance	-		
	45	Direct	Supplies and Equipment	307,221	(356,802)	(49,581
	46	Direct	Utilities	(11,587)	(17, 167)	(28,754
	47		Total Non-Personnel Expenses	29,887	(1,416,751)	(1,386,863
	48		Total Operating Expenses	(4,951,701)	(4,219,969)	(9,171,669
	49		Transfers.			
	50	Direct	Revenue Transfers		56,039	56,039
	51	Direct	Inter Fund Transfers	42,663	(500)	42,163
	52		Net Transfers	42,663	55,539	98,201
	53		Margin (Deficit) Before Support Unit Cost Allocations	8,311,419	256,516	8,567,935
	54		Margin (Deficit) Before Support Unit Cost Allocations (%)	62.9%	5.8%	48.6%
	55	Allocation Metric	Cost Pool Allocations			(768.628
	56	Student FTE - Total Fac. FTE - Adj. Instructional	Academic	(768,628)	-	(
	57 58	Baseline Model Total UG Tuition Allocation	Athletics Centers & Institutes	(75,103)	-	(75,103
	58	Research Expenditures - Academic ONLY Net Assign. Sq. Ft - Total	Facilities	(115,729) (446,056)		(115,729) (446,056)
	60	Adi Direct Expenditures - Total	General Administration	(1.039.367)		(1.039.367
	61	Research Expenditures - Academic ONLY	Research Administration	(156,015)	_	(1,055,007
	62	Student FTE - Total	Student Affairs	(247,565)	-	(247,565
	63		Total Cost Pool Expense Allocations	(2,848,462)		(2,848,462
	64		Total Margin After Cost Pool Expense Allocations	5,462,957	256,516	5,719,473
	65		Subvention & Strategic Funding			
	66		Subvention Fund Contribution	(1,581,893)	-	(1,581,893
	67		Strategic Fund Contribution	(257,985)	-	(257,985
	68		Total Contributions	(1,839,878)		(1,839,878
	69		Total Margin After Subvention & Strategic Fund Contributions	3,623,078	256,516	3,879,595
	70		Subvention Fund Disbursement			
	71		Strategic Fund Disbursement	-	ates.	
	72		Total Disbursements	-		
	73		Total Margin After Subvention & Strategic Funding	\$ 3,623,078	\$ 256,516	\$ 3,879,595



Tuition, Fees, and Aid: Tuition and waivers are allocated balancing credit hours of instruction and of record



State Appropriations: Used to target priorities consistent with Stony Brook's strategic plan.

Α	В	С	D	E	F
		* Stony Brook University		College A	
		FY23 Baseline Model	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total
1	Allocation	<u>Revenues</u>			
2	Formula	Undergraduate On Campus Tuition, Gross	1,029,356	-	1,029,356
3	Formula	Graduate On Campus Tuition, Gross	7,139,783	-	7,139,783
4	Formula	Undergraduate Online Tuition, Gross	13,280	-	13,280
5	Formula	Graduate Online Tuition, Gross	343,658	-	343,658
6	Direct	Contract Tuition (SUTRA)	-	-	-
7	Direct	Differential Tuition	899,216	-	899,216
8	Formula	Less: Student Aid: Central Aid	(1,675,349)	-	(1,675,349)
9	Direct	Less: Student Aid: Local	122,500	(20,338)	102,162
10	Direct	Less: Tuition Waivers	-	-	-
11	Direct	Less: Graduate Tuition Waivers	(20,468)	-	(20,468)
12	Formula	Student Fees: Comprehensive	630,629	-	630,629
13	Direct	Student Fees: Course	-	-	-
14	Direct	Student Fees: Other	-	-	-
15		Total Net Tuition and Fees	8,482,605	(20,338)	8,462,268
16	Formula	State Support	3,870,924	-	3,870,924
17	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - Special Appropriations	-	-	-
18	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - Union Retro Payment	-	-	-
19	Direct - '5. State Approp.' Tab	State Support - NY Faculty Fund	321,226	-	321,226
20		Total State Appropriations	4,192,150	-	4,192,150



costs

Support Unit Costs: Academic units are assessed a proportionate share of indirect



Central Funding Mechanism: Used to fund a pool for strategic investments and/or top-off primary units

52		Net I ransters	42,003	၁ ,539	98,201
53		Margin (Deficit) Before Support Unit Cost Allocations	8,311,419	256,516	8,567,935
54		Margin (Deficit) Before Support Unit Cost Allocations (%)	62.9%	5.8%	48.6%
55	Allocation Metric	Cost Pool Allocations			
56	Student FTE - Total Fac. FTE - Adj. Instructional	Academic	(768,628)	-	(768,628)
57	Baseline Model Total UG Tuition Allocation	Athletics	(75,103)	-	(75,103)
58	Research Expenditures - Academic ONLY	Centers & Institutes	(115,729)	-	(115,729)
59	Net Assign. Sq. Ft - Total	Facilities	(446,056)	-	(446,056)
50	Adj. Direct Expenditures - Total	General Administration	(1,039,367)	-	(1,039,367)
51	Research Expenditures - Academic ONLY	Research Administration	(156,015)	-	(156,015)
<u>52</u>	Student FTE - Total	Student Affairs	(247,565)	-	(247,565)
<mark>63</mark>		Total Cost Pool Expense Allocations	(2,848,462)	-	(2,848,462)
54		Total Margin After Cost Pool Expense Allocations	5,462,957	256,516	5,719,473
65		Subvention & Strategic Funding			
<mark>36</mark>		Subvention Fund Contribution	(1,581,893)	-	(1,581,893)
67		Strategic Fund Contribution	(257,985)	-	(257,985)
<mark>58</mark>		Total Contributions	(1,839,878)	-	(1,839,878)
5 9		Total Margin After Subvention & Strategic Fund Contributions	3,623,078	256,516	3,879,595
70		Subvention Fund Disbursement	-	-	-
71		Strategic Fund Disbursement	-	-	-
72		Total Disbursements	-	-	-
73		Total Margin After Subvention & Strategic Funding	\$ 3,623,078	\$ 256,516	\$ 3,879,595

*

Continued Campus Community Engagement

The next campus engagement effort is the continuation of the Deans' Retreat. During that session we will share the full model output and facilitate additional model design conversations.



DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

1. Dates shown below are preliminary and subject to change based on scheduling availability



Questions &

Comments



Model Disclosures

The model printouts and results shared today will continue to be refined and improved upon. As you study the full income statement, it is important to consider a list of disclosures:

- The model is based on FY23 actuals and the underlying transactional data has been reconciled to Stony Brook's Actual vs. Budget website.¹
- Metrics used are static and retrospective (FY23). University leadership will need to determine how reactive / sensitive the model will be to new and updated data points.
- The current model and related output will change as additional revisions are made resulting from broader stakeholder feedback and data refinements.
- The allocation of subvention assumes the University adopts a "hold harmless" mechanism the first year of implementation. This is only achievable if the University can generate a financial surplus at year-end.
- Bottom-line positive margins do not reflect actual cash available for use. University governance and policies will guide the usage of carry-forwards and accrued reserves.
- Sensitivity should be taken regarding sharing of any materials. Please consult with one of the Project Sponsors for guidance on appropriate audiences. We advise that a member of the Working Group and/or Huron join discussions where appropriate.

^{1.} Due to the student level data that is needed to apply the tuition allocation methodologies, there is a known \$480K tuition revenue difference, which is 0.15% of total gross tuition.



Throughout the engagement, individuals within Stony Brook University have partnered with Huron to guide the development of a model that best fits Stony Brook's goals.

Executive Sponsor	The Executive and Project Sponsors provide on-going institutional-level support and hold decision making authority related to the final design and implementation of the redesigned budget model
Project Sponsors	 Executive Sponsor: Dr. Richard McCormick, Interim President Project Sponsors: Carl Lejuez, Provost & EVP for Academic Affairs; Jed Shivers, SVP for Finance & Administration; Dr. William Wertheim, EVP for Stony Brook Medicine
Steering Committee ¹	The Steering Committee is tasked with providing initial recommendations for the structure, incentives, and allocation methodologies for a new budget model
Budget Model Liaisons ^{1,2}	The Model Liaisons support stakeholder engagement and implementation by building institutional capacity via knowledge transfer
Project Partners ¹	Project Partners are tasked with providing institutional data, supporting the creation of initial options for the Steering Committee to consider, and aiding the execution of model design recommendations.
Huron Project Team Support	The Huron Project Team is tasked with providing support for the entirety of the of the budget model redesign effort, facilitating stakeholder conversations, providing perspectives on higher education trends, and building a prototype model



Engagement Sponsors & Steering Committee (1 of 2)

Huron has met regularly with the Project Sponsors and the Steering Committee to develop recommendations on model design elements.

Executive Sponsor
Dr. Richard McCormick, Interim President
Project Sponsors ¹
Carl W. Lejuez , Provost & Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs Jed Shivers , Senior Vice President for Finance and Administration Dr. William Wertheim , Executive Vice President for Stony Brook Medicine

	Steering Committee ¹				
Membership		Title	Unit		
1	Carl W. Lejuez	Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs	Academic Affairs		
2	Jed Shivers	Senior Vice President for Finance and Administration	Finance & Administration		
3	Dr. William Wertheim	Executive Vice President for Stony Brook Medicine	Stony Brook Medicine		
4	Cassie Amadio	Assistant Vice President for Accounting and University Controller	Finance & Administration: Accounting		
5	Lily Blocker	Founding Director of Leadership & Creativity Research Lab; Co-Director of MBA Program	College of Business		
6	Patricia Bruckenthal	Dean of School of Nursing	School of Nursing		
7	Alex Drees	Dean of College of Arts and Sciences	College of Arts and Sciences		
8	Diane Fischer	Associate Vice President for Academic Budget and Financial Planning	Academic Affairs		
9	Alfredo Fontanini	Professor and Chair, Department of Neurobiology and Behavior	College of Arts and Sciences		
10	Kevin Gardner	Vice President for Research	Stony Brook Research		
11	Lyle Gomes	Vice President Finance & Administration	Finance & Administration: Finance		
12	Braden J. Hosch	Vice President for Educational and Institutional Effectiveness	Educational & Institutional Effectiveness		
13	Peter Igarashi	Dean of School of Medicine	School of Medicine		

1. Individuals are listed in alphabetical order by last name

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

© 2024 Huron Consulting Group Inc. and affiliates.



Engagement Sponsors & Steering Committee (2 of 2)

Huron has met regularly with the Project Sponsors and the Steering Committee to develop recommendations on model design elements.

	Steering Committee (Continued)					
Memb	pership	Title	Unit			
14	Elizenda Mondesir	Assistant Vice President for Budget, Financial Planning and Analysis	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis			
15	Heather Montague	Senior Associate Vice President for Budget & Financial Planning	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis			
16	John H. Riley, Jr.	Associate Vice President for Health Sciences; Vice Dean Finance & Administration	SOM Finance & Administration			
17	Christian Salisbury	Director for Budget Oversight and Community Colleges at SUNY System Administration	SUNY Office of Finance & Budget			
18	Andrew C. Singer	Dean of College of Engineering & Applied Sciences (CEAS)	College of Engineering & Applied Sciences			



Additional Project Partners and Contributors¹

Huron has met with a subsets of the project partners on an ad hoc basis for assistance with building model design recommendation, data validation, and additional campus insights.

Mem	bership	Title	Unit
1	Heather Montague ^{2, 3}	Senior Associate Vice President for Budget & Financial Planning	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
2	Angie Alicea	Financial Planning Manager	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
3	Cassie Amadio ²	Assistant Vice President for Accounting and University Controller	Office of the Controller
4	Erik Anderson	Director of Student Financial Services and Revenue Cycle Manager	Office of the Provost
5	Monique Blakely	Senior Programmer Analyst	Office the Vice President for Research
6	Maria Ficken ⁴	Senior Director of Financial Planning and Analysis for Academic Affairs	Academic Affairs
7	Diane Fischer ^{2, 4}	Associate Vice President for Academic Budget and Financial Planning	Academic Affairs
8	Alfredo Fontanini ^{2, 4, 5}	Professor and Chair	Neurobiology and Behavior
9	Lauren Gallagher	Senior Budget Analyst	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
10	Donald Gocinski	Principal Reporting Manager	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
11	Syed Hasnain	Senior Systems Engineer	Enterprise Data and Analytics
12	Sean Hoffman	Assistant Director for Analytics	Educational & Institutional Effectiveness
13	Melissa Hogarty ⁴	Assistant Vice President for Business	Finance & Administration
14	Braden J. Hosch ^{2, 4}	Vice President for Educational & Institutional Effectiveness	Educational & Institutional Effectiveness
15	Vicki James	Budget Operations Manager	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
16	John Kent	Director of Real Estate and Property Management	Space Management & Real Estate
17	Sara Lehmann	Assistant Controller	Office of the Controller
18	Elizenda Mondesir ²	Assistant Vice President for Budget, Financial Planning and Analysis	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
19	Nick Prewitt	Executive Director of Financial Aid and Scholarship Services	Enrollment Management
20	Cathy Ribando	Director of Financial Operations	Budget, Financial Planning & Analysis
21	John H. Riley, Jr. ^{2, 4}	Associate Vice President for Health Sciences; Vice Dean Finance & Administration	SOM Finance & Administration

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

1. Individuals are listed in alphabetical order by last name. 3. Engagement Lead

2. Member of the Steering Committee

Model Liaison

5.

Faculty Senate Representative

© 2024 Huron Consulting Group Inc. and affiliates.



Additional Project Partners and Contributors¹

Huron has met with a subsets of the project partners on an ad hoc basis for assistance with building model design recommendation, data validation, and additional campus insights.

Membership		Title	Unit
22	Sheila Routh	Senior Director of Grants Management	Office of the Vice President for Research
23	Karen Shumar	Assistant Director of Revenue and Reporting	Office of the Controller
24	Gregory Tarquinio	Space Inventory Coordinator	Space Management & Real Estate
25	Mark Ungania	Senior Associate Director of Budget and Finance	Office of the Vice President for Research

Model Unit Organization

The model first focuses on differentiating revenue units vs. support units for the purpose of designing funds flows and incentives.

Academic Units	Auxiliary Units	Service Pools
 Typically include colleges and schools 	 Typically include operating areas such as 	 Typically include administrative units
 Relative ability to influence revenue 	housing, parking, dining bookstore, etc.	 Limited ability to influence revenue
generation through tuition and other means	 Generate material "fee for service" revenues 	generation
		 May have limited direct revenue and
 Receive all or the majority of allocated revenue sources 	 Cover direct costs with generated revenues 	receive limited amount of allocated revenue (e.g., Tech Fees)
 Cover direct costs with generated revenue 	 Accountable for financial performance 	 Primary role is to support the institution with needed and agreed upon
	 Allocated service pool overhead 	administrative services
 Allocated service pool overhead assessments via model formulas 	assessment via model formulas or fee- for-service	 Do not receive an allocation of
Contribute toward a control funding	Contribute toward a control funding	overhead costs incurred by other Service
 Contribute toward a central funding mechanism used by university 	 Contribute toward a central funding mechanism used by university 	Units
leadership to award funding for approved	leadership to award funding for approved	 Accountable for optimal service levels,
subvention and strategic initiatives	subvention and strategic initiatives	performance quality, and annually approved net expenditure thresholds
 Accountable for financial performance, retaining both surpluses and losses 	 Accountable for financial performance, retaining both surpluses and losses 	

Account Hierarchy

The model is built on utilizing a natural classification for accounts¹ based on Stony Brook's GL accounts used for reporting. This is intended to provide a managerial level view.

Revenues		
	Gross Tuition	
Net Tuition and Fees	 Student Aid 	
	• Fees	
	 General State Support 	
State Support	 Special Appropriations 	
State Support	 Union Retro Payment 	
	 NY Faculty Fund 	
	 Government Grants and Contracts 	
Grants and Contracts	 Indirect Cost Recovery 	
Orants and Contracts	 Other Research Income 	
	 Private Grants and Contracts 	
	 Donations 	
	 Interest and Investment Income 	
Other Operating Revenues	 Other Revenue 	
Revenues	 Self Supporting Revenue 	
	 Services and Fees 	

Expenses		
	 Personal Service 	
Salaries & Wages	Temp Service	
	Fringe Benefits	
	 Administrative Overhead 	
	 Contractual Services 	
	 General and Administrative 	
Non-Personnel	 Lease and Rental Expense 	
Expenses	Other Expense	
	 Repairs and Maintenance 	
	 Supplies and Equipment 	
	Utilities	

Transfers	
Net Transfers	 Revenue Transfers
Net transfers	 Interfund Transfers

1. The engagement team acknowledges that viewing activity from a natural classification perspective represents a major update for Stony Brook. To create this natural classification view in the New Economic Framework model, Huron has partnered with Stony Brook to map over 2,900 individual GL accounts into the categories listed above.

Fund Organization

While the budget model takes an all-funds approach, select funds are excluded due to the nature of these funds and the scope of the model.

Unrestricted Funds	
Fund Code	Fund Description
DORM	Dormitory Income Fund
IDCAG	IDC Agency Account Component
IDCRF	IDC Research Foundation
IFR	Income Fund Reimbursable
OPER	Operating – FSA (Bookstore and Dining Only)
ROYAL	Royalty Research Foundation
SRVFC	Service and Facility Research Foundation
STATE	State
SUTRA	State University Tuition Reimbursable
τυιτ	Tuition Fund
URSTR	Unrestricted – SBF

Restricted Funds		
Fund Code Fund Description		
1R	SUNY Income Offset	
265	State Education Department	
3X	UUP Awards	
4R	DORM Special Cost Center	
AGNCY	Agency	
BSARF	BSA Research Accounts	
DIFR	DIFR – IFR	
IFR	Income Fund Reimbursable	
RESTR	Restricted – SBF	
SPONR	Sponsored Research – RF	
SUTRA	State University Tuition Reimbursable	

The following fund types are not included within the scope of Stony Brook University's budget model:

Agency Financial Aid

Hospital

Long Island Veterans Home

Capital Construction

Loan

Plant

Updated Research Subsidy Starting Point

Stony Brook's FY23 calculated, negotiated and effective recovery rates were used as a starting point to determine a research activities subsidy. This influenced the Committee's decision to allocate 30% of State Appropriations based on research activities.



Rather than subsidizing the entire unfunded gap (A + B + C), a target should be established to promote increasing local effective recovery (C). Currently illustrated: 50% "local" responsibility and 50% formulaic subsidy from state appropriations when comparing the FY22 negotiated and average effective rate.



from state appropriations.

FY23 State Appropriations (\$154.5M)^{2, 3}

1. Excludes funding for Healthcare worker bonus & PBA NYS Union Retro Payments

2. Reflective of current FY23 general state appropriations based on subsequent reconciling efforts.

\$196.5M to calculate potential subsidy pool carveouts

Recent Topics from Deans Discussions

Below are the general topic that experienced extensive dialogue during one-on-one meetings with deans and the Deans' Retreat



© 2024 Huron Consulting Group Inc. and affiliates.

Key Next Steps to Implementation

Once final recommendations for model design elements have been obtained from the Steering Committee, efforts will shift to creating infrastructure needed to prepare for implementation.

- I. Continue meeting with Steering Committee and Deans to solicit feedback and ultimately obtain approval from President McCormick on core model design elements
- 2. Update model management structure and budget submission process
- 3. Expand model analysis with additional data sets including FY25 budget
 - 4. Develop Service Level Agreement and updated financial management policies
 - 5. Enable technology support tool and expand reporting capabilities