## Stony Brook University Arts & Sciences Curriculum Committee

Meeting called by	Chair	Type of meeting	Attendees
Arts & Sciences Curriculum Committee	Shyam Sharma	Committee Discussion, Proposal Reviews	<b>Present</b> : Angela Kelly, David Black, Erica Hackley, Jonathan Anzalone, Michael Boerner, Qingzhi Zhu, Sara Santos; Shyam Sharma, Shellana Henderson; Alexandra Pamfilie, William Laffey Absent:

## AGENDA

- 1. Call to order
- 2. Adopt agenda
  - a. Committee Decision: adopt
- 3. Approval of 3/22/2023
  - a. Committee Decision: approved
- 4. Old items:

## a. Finalize Reviewer Guideline

- Motion: Adopt the "checklist" section of guideline, also linking it from the website so instructors and departments can see how we review their proposals.
- Decision: adopted

## b. Curriculum Committee procedure (not senate) Section A(4) -

- Motion: review/approve adapted version using 1995 decision parts of which has been replaced and parts are incorporated)
- Decision: approved

- c. **Review draft of "<u>important decisions/documents</u>" that are linked from the Committee submissions website but are often outdated, too lengthy, etc.** 
  - Infrequently offered courses motion to send up to UGC
    - Decision: approved
  - ACE courses motion to approve update
    - Decision: approved
  - independent reading and research motion to approve
    - Decision: approved
    - film and video motion to approve, pending hours specification
      - Decision: approved
  - course levels motion to approve as updated
    - Decision: approved
  - Prerequisites motion to approve
    - Decision: approved

- General education motion to archive the current language. Update and adopt, after new guidelines from SUNY. Follow up with Kara.
  - Decision: approve
- 5. New items: N/A

6. Proposal Reviews		
Task	Decision and notes to be relayed	
LLRC -	Approve with note	
clarification of	Dear Dr. Erico Sato,	
an existing	On behalf of the Arts & Sciences Curriculum Committee, I am pleased to inform you that the	
policy on foreign	proposal for clarification of LLRC policy on foreign language challenge exam was approved with a suggestion.	
language challenge	Current: "Students may not take a Challenge Exam if they graduated from a high school where the language of instruction was the same as the course being challenged."	
exam	hungunge of mistraction was the same as the course being enunenged.	
	Revised: "Students may not take a Challenge Exam for course credits if they graduated from a high school where the language of instruction was the same as the course being challenged. (This does not apply to the Challenge Exam for Proficiency (LANG) only.)"	
	<b>Suggested revision</b> : "Course credits for FLCE will not be awarded if the student graduated from a high school where the primary language of instruction was the same as the course being challenged. The *** can reward the LANG designation for high scores in the exam."	
	If the suggested version is acceptable, please specify the officials (UPDs?) will permanently approve/manage the LANG qualification, and we will submit it for update to the bulletin. If not, please let us know.	
	With regards,	
	Shyam Sharma Committee Chair	
<u>ب 7</u>	Next meeting – Heads up for next meeting	
7. 1	vert meeting – meads up tot mert meeting	

a.

8. Adjournment