Energy Company Initiative Stony Brook University Small Business Development Center

Renewable and Clean Energy Technologies Jeffrey K. Saelens, Ph.D., Director





NYSERDA



NYSERDA's Goals

<mark>≉ <u>30% by 2015</u></mark>

NYSERDA's goal is for 30% of New York State's energy needs to be generated by clean or renewable means by 2015

NYSERD/



Governor Patterson had set an even more ambitious target: 45% by 2015 (including savings from energy efficiency)

Where are we now? <u>NYS Energy Consumption</u> in 2008 = 4,027 trillion BTUs

Petroleum 37% (2007 = 40%)
 Natural Gas 30% (2007 = 29%)
 Nuclear 11% (2007 = 11%)
 Coal 6% (2007 = 6%)
 Hydro 7% (2007 = 6%)
 Biofuels 3% (2007 = 3%)
 Imported Elec. 6% (2007 = 5%)

Use of Energy in New York State

- NY is 4th in the US, at 4.1% of the total.
- NY is the 2nd most energy-efficient state.
- NY is the 4th largest renewable energy generator in the US (primarily hydro).
- NY consumes more residential and commercial energy than the US overall.
- NY consumes less energy for industrial and transportation uses than the US overall
- NY relies more heavily on foreign oil for petroleum (91% vs 88% in 2007) than the US overall (68% vs 65% in 2007).

Some Implications...

- Large residential and commercial demand, and low industrial demand = small-scale systems.
- Dependency on imported petroleum products makes it especially vulnerable to price spikes
 = alternatives are imperative.
- New York's renewable energy production is currently about 16% of the total. To meet the RPS target of 30% by 2015, renewable energy = increase by about 2.8% of total primary consumption each year.

Energy Flow in NYS



NYSERDA

What are the current options?

Renewable and clean energy technologies include:

- Passive Solar
- Photovoltaics
- Se Wind
- Biofuels (Ethanol, Biodiesel)
- Geothermal
- Hydrogen (Fuel Cells)

What are the current options?

Greater Energy Efficiency
<u>Technologies include:</u>

Combined Heat and Power (CHP)
Smart HVAC
Smart Lighting

What are the future options?

- Controlled Nuclear Fusion via Magnetic Confinement
- Controlled Nuclear Fusion via Laser Inertial Confinement
- Controlled Nuclear Fusion via Cold Fusion

Solar Passive

× Advantages

+ Well established,

+ relatively inexpensive

× Disadvantages

+ Limited applicability (hot water on sunny days)
+ Storage an issue

Solar Photovoltaics

× Advantages

- + Produces DC electricity directly
- + Utilities are (slowly) embracing net metering
- + Thin-film versions in development phase

× <u>Disadvantages</u>

- + Expensive \$40-50K capital cost, 7-10 yr. break even
- Storage electricity only generated when sun is shining.
- + Finite life span of solar cells

Wind

Advantages:

- Cost effective
- Works day and night, rain or shine
- Scalable Residential to Commercial
- Long life span of equipment

Disadvantages:

- Very expensive
- Permiting issues

 Only works when and where there is wind – optimal steady 35 mph

Biofuels - Ethanol

Advantages:

- Works in combustion engines up to E85
- Storable and semi-transportable
- Very cost effective from cellulose
- Not so much from sugar

- Using sugar feedstock upsets food chain
- Good cellulases still in development
- Only semi-transportable best if production is local

Biofuels - BioDiesel

Current Sources:

- Expired cooking oil
- Turkey guts
- Soy Beans

Future Sources:

- Algae
- Manure
- Sewage

Biofuels - BioDiesel

Advantages:

- Works in standard diesel engines
- Storable and transportable
- Very cost effective from expired cooking oil
- Cost effective from other sources

- Cooking oil feedstock limited
- Other sources still in development
- Carbon neutral at best, polluting at worst
- Other than algae, other sources are gross

Geothermal

Advantages:

- Very cost effective
- Long life span of equipment
- Works day or night, heat or AC, all seasons
- Scalable to residential or commercial

- Expensive, especially installation
- Space needed, albeit underground
- Permitting

Hydrogen (storable electricity)

Advantages:

- Semi-transportable : fix position or transportation
- Produces electricity and is storable
- Feedstock is water or methanol

- Storable but storage is heavy, bulky
- Proton Exchange Membranes are expensive and have limited shelf life

Nuclear Fusion

Sources of feedstock:

- Tritium from Lithium (mined: known reserves
 = 1000 yrs)
- Deuterium (seawater : 1 gallon = 30 gallons of gasoline)
- Helium -3 (mined on the moon: very large amounts present)

Nuclear Fusion

Advantages:

- Virtually unlimited feedstock
- Zero pollution
- Zero carbon footprint

- Very early in development no prototype
- Confinement is energy intensive
- Large capital expenses to start-up

Future Workshops

- Five additional workshops planned over the next 5 months – all are invited.
- Make sure we have your email address





NYSERDA

