

# Beyond Bloom's: Exploring Different Taxonomies for Assessment

Office of Educational Effectiveness October 1 & 3, 2024



## **Workshop Facilitators**



Krista Emma Educational Effectiveness Specialist



Diana Jernigan-Breedy Educational Effectiveness Specialist





# **Workshop Objectives**

- Differentiate between the major taxonomies and frameworks related to teaching, learning, and assessment.
- Select a taxonomy that resonates most with your academic discipline and teaching/assessment philosophy.
- Apply the concepts from your preferred taxonomy to your program learning objectives and assessment plans.





# Establishing Foundations: Educational Taxonomies 101



## **Taxonomies 101: Clearer Goals, Better Learning**

A structured framework to classify learning objectives, skills, or knowledge into different levels of complexity or mastery. Used to inform curricular, instructional, and assessment design processes.

- Rooted in behavioral and educational psychology
- Importance of "*well-defined learning processes that generate observable, measurable results*" (Barkley & Major, 2022, pp. 54).
- Supports backward design principles by 'beginning with the end in mind'
- Promotes equity by making the implicit goals of the educational experience explicit to all learners
- Foundational component of the program assessment process (Articulating Goals & Objectives)

#### FAR BEYOND



# Establishing Foundations: What's Bloom's, again?

# **Bloom's (Original) Taxonomy**

- Established in 1956 by Benjamin Bloom, educational psychologist, et al.
- Hierarchical structure, often depicted as a pyramid
- Moves from 'lower-order' skills at base to 'higher-order' skills at peak
- Includes three domains:

\* Stony Brook University







## **Bloom's Taxonomy (Cognitive Domain)**







# **Bloom's Taxonomy**







# **Bloom's 2.0: The Remix**

## Anderson & Krathwohl's Revised Taxonomy

- Revised in 2001 by Lorin Anderson & David Krathwohl
- Shifted language from inactive nouns to active verbs to better reflect students' cognitive processes
- Can be depicted as a pyramid or 2-D intersectional model
- Repositioned 'knowledge' as a foundation for the hierarchy with four dimensions:





\* Stony Brook University



## Anderson & Krathwohl's Taxonomy







## **Original & Revised Bloom's Comparison**



#### FAR BEYOND

https://www.growthengineering.co.uk/what-can-blooms-taxonomy-tell-us-about-online-learning/



# Fink's Taxonomy of Significant Learning

# **Fink's Significant Learning Taxonomy**

- Developed by L. Dee Fink, a teaching and learning consultant, in 2003
- Derived from conversations with students on what they considered 'significant learning experiences'
- Wanted a taxonomy that was meaningful to both teachers and students
- Relational and cumulative in nature rather than hierarchical
- Aims to address skills and values beyond the cognitive domain:





\* Stony Brook University



## **Fink's Significant Learning Taxonomy**



Adapted from Fink 2013

#### FAR BEYOND

# Fink's: Six Significant Learning Categories

- 1. **Foundational Knowledge:** Understanding and remembering information, ideas, perspectives as the basis for other kinds of learning.
- 2. Application: Applying knowledge to real situations through critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving. Making use of the foundational knowledge attained.
- **3. Integration**: Making connections between ideas, learning, and life experiences. Putting things into context.
- 4. Human Dimension: Exploring personal/social implications of learning; learning about oneself and others.
- 5. **Caring:** Developing feelings, interests and values that make the learner care about their learning and prompt interest in continued/deeper learning.
- 6. Learning How to Learn: Becoming a better, more self-directed, more effective learner; improving metacognition.



Stony Brook University

### Fink's Taxonomy of Significant Learning

	Foundational Knowledge	Application	Integration	Human Dimension	Caring	Learning How to Learn
Definition	Recall and demonstrate understanding of information and ideas.	Demonstrate skills. Engage in critical, practical and creative thinking.	Perceive connections between ideas, experiences, disciplines and realms of life.	Gaining a new understanding of themselves and others. Determine personal and social implications.	Acquire new interests, feeling or values about what they are learning.	Learning about the process of their particular learning and learning in general.
Actions	<ul> <li>Remember</li> <li>Recall</li> <li>Identify</li> <li>Explain</li> <li>Predict</li> <li>Describe</li> <li>Define</li> <li>Summarize</li> <li>Recognize</li> <li>Arrange</li> <li>Indicate</li> <li>Classify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use</li> <li>Critique</li> <li>Manage</li> <li>Solve</li> <li>Assess</li> <li>Judge</li> <li>Do [skill]</li> <li>Imagine</li> <li>Analyze</li> <li>Calculate</li> <li>Coordinate</li> <li>Communicate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Connect</li> <li>Identify the interaction between</li> <li>Relate</li> <li>Compare</li> <li>Contrast</li> <li>Integrate</li> <li>Identify the similarities between</li> <li>Determine the cause</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interact with others</li> <li>Compare viewpoint</li> <li>Discuss (world events)</li> <li>Identify the impact</li> <li>Plan (a change)</li> <li>Determine (why actions occurred)</li> <li>Advocate</li> <li>Collaborate</li> <li>Support</li> <li>Resolve</li> <li>Share</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Get excited about</li> <li>Prepare to</li> <li>Increase interest</li> <li>Value</li> <li>Reflect</li> <li>Change</li> <li>Adjust (beliefs)</li> <li>Commit</li> <li>Develop (a plan)</li> <li>Explore</li> <li>Express</li> <li>Pledge</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop (a plan)</li> <li>Identify resources</li> <li>Construct knowledge about</li> <li>Frame useful questions</li> <li>Analyze</li> <li>Inquire</li> <li>Reflect</li> <li>Research</li> <li>Self-assess</li> <li>Self-monitor</li> </ul>

Adapted from Fink, L. D. (2013). Creating Significant Learning Experiences: An Integrated Approach to Designing College Courses. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.





# Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DoK) Framework

# Webb's Depth of Knowledge Framework

- Developed by Norman Webb, educational researcher and psychologist, in 1997
- Classifies activities/assessments according to rigor and cognitive complexity required to complete a task
- Intended to align instructional activities to appropriately challenging assessments
- Users four levels, often depicted as ascending steps on a staircase
- 'Depth' relies more on the context of the PLO than the specific verb used (notably NOT a 'taxonomy')

Bloom's Taxonomy	Webb's DoK	
What kind of <b>thinking</b> is needed to complete the task?	How <b>deeply</b> do you need to understand the content to complete the task?	



\* Stony Brook University



# Webb's Depth of Knowledge Framework







# Webb's DoK: Providing Context







# Perry's/Baxter-Magolda's Stages of Undergraduate Cognitive Development

## **Stages of Undergraduate Cognitive Development**

- Framework developed by educational psychologist, William Perry (1968); refined by education researcher, Marcia Baxter-Magolda (1992)
- Focuses on development of intellectual, ethical skills and the formation of a personal identity
- Most effective in disciplines that are abstract or open to interpretation: arts, literature, philosophy
- Includes 4 main 'positions' that students pass through toward cognitive maturity





\* Stony Brook University

## **Stages of Undergraduate Cognitive Development**

#### Position 1: DUALISM

Simplicity, Certainty Absolutisms Black & White Good & Bad True & False Instructors are all-knowing

#### Position 2: MULTIPLICITY

Multiple Opinions, All Equally Valid Uncertainties Exist but can be Resolved

> Cognitive Discomfort

Instructors/Experts Don't Know Everything

#### Position 3: RELATIVISM

Knowledge= Contextual No singular 'truth'

Comfort with Ambiguity

Opinions can be Evaluated with Evidence, Reasoning

#### Position 4: COMMITMENT

Complexity

Create/Adopt Informed Opinions

Modify/Reassess commitments with Evidence, Reasoning (Growth!)





# Applying the Stages of Undergraduate Cognitive Development

Use the framework to design PLOs that align to the target level of cognitive complexity, or design rubrics that assess student progress toward more advanced critical thinking skills.

Dualism	Multiplicity	Relativism	Commitment
<ul> <li>Recall</li> <li>Define</li> <li>Identify</li> <li>Label</li> <li>List</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Summarize</li> <li>Compare</li> <li>Contrast</li> <li>Interpret</li> <li>Discuss</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analyze</li> <li>Evaluate</li> <li>Differentiate</li> <li>Justify</li> <li>Critique</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Defend</li> <li>Reflect</li> <li>Select</li> <li>Integrate</li> <li>Synthesize</li> <li>Create</li> </ul>





# More Taxonomies to Explore



## More Taxonomies to Explore

SOLO Taxonomy (Structure of Observed Learning

Outcomes)

5 Levels from 'Prestructural' to 'Abstract' Thinking Marzano's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives

> The Self | Metacognitive Cognitive | Knowledge

#### FAR BEYOND

### Barkley & Major's Learning Goal Inventory: https://bit.ly/3JrYBfU

The Learning Goals Inventory (LGI) is a survey tool with a three-fold purpose:

1 - to help college teachers focus and articulate their goals for student learning in their individual courses,

Complete <u>the</u> <u>survey</u> for personalized feedback on your course/program learning goals 2 - to help college teachers locate appropriate Learning Assessment Techniques that they can use to help assess how well students have achieved the learning goals, and

3 - to provide a starting point for discussions about teaching and learning goals among colleagues.

The LGI has four parts:

1 - Course Information,

- 2 Learning Goals Rating Scale,
- 3 Learning Goals Self-Assessment, and
- 4 Responder Characteristics.

Completion of the LGI should take approximately 10-15 minutes

FAR BEYOND



# Wrap Up & Resources



# Summary & Poll

- Bloom's (Original) Taxonomy
- Bloom's (Revised) Taxonomy
- Fink's Taxonomy of Significant Learning Relational, interactive, cumulative
- Webb's Depth of Knowledge Depth is contextual
- Perry/Baxter-Magolda's Stages of Undergraduate Cognitive
   Development
   Journey toward informed opinions & advanced critical thinking



https://www.menti.com/alm85oqxedoy Enter Code: 6974 3896





Traditional Hierarchy

Action-oriented Hierarchy



# Takeaways

- Taxonomies/Frameworks are organizational tools not prescriptions!
- Not all learning is necessarily linear or hierarchical.
- The context of your discipline matters.
- Regardless of your chosen approach, selecting measurable, action-oriented verbs is key to leveraging taxonomies for assessment.





# **Further Reading & Resources**

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. (Eds.). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York: Longman.

Barkley, E.F. & Major, C.H. (2022). Engaged teaching: A handbook for college faculty (1st Edition). Social Good. (ISBN-13-: 979-8985774207).

Biggs, J. & Tang, C. (2009). Teaching for Quality Learning at University: What the Student Does. (3rd ed.) pp.76-80.

- Fink, L. D. (2013). Creating significant learning experiences: an integrated approach to designing college courses (Revised and updated edition). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Nilson, L.B. (2010a). Outcomes-centered course design. In L.B. Nilson, *Teaching at Its Best: A Research-Based Resource for College Instructors, 3rd Edition* (pp. 17-31). John Wiley & Sons.

Nilson, L. B. (2010b). Understanding your students and how they learn. In L.B. Nilson, *Teaching at Its Best: A Research-Based Resource for College Instructors, 3rd Edition* (pp. 3-16). John Wiley & Sons.

#### FAR BEYOND



# **Post-Workshop Challenge**

 Review the list of Program Learning Objectives for your area: <u>https://www.stonybrook.edu/commcms/oee/objectives/index.php</u>

Select an alternate educational taxonomy and improve a PLO with this approach.





# **Questions & Discussion**

## ASSESSMENT BUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS 2024

Join OEE for its Fall 2024 workshop series on best practices and innovations in assessment! Register at the QR code below.

- Reframing Assessment with UDL in Mind Sept. 16 at 11am & Sept. 17 at 2pm
- Beyond Bloom's: Exploring Different Taxonomies for Assessment Oct. 1 at 1pm & Oct. 3 at 10am
- Leveraging Al in Assessment Oct. 17 at 10:30am & Oct. 21 at 12pm
- Why Assessment Works: Evidence Based Examples Nov. 11 at 11:30am & Nov. 12 at 2pm
- Including the Student Voice in Assessment Nov. 21 at 11:30am & Nov. 22 at 2pm



### OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT SYMPOSIUM 2024

#### TAKING THE FEAR OUT OF ASSESSMENT



#### ZACH JUSTUS, PhD

KEYNOTE SPEAKER Director of Faculty Development Professor, Communication Studies California State University, Chico

Join the assessment fright fest! Featuring presentations on the challenges of authentic assessment in the age of AI, and industry perspectives on graduates' essential skills.



9:00 AM - 2:30 PM STUDENT UNION BALLROOM



EducationalEffectiveness@stonybrook.edu



## Thank you! EducationalEffectiveness@stonybrook.edu