

ADPOSITIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS IN UPPER ŞİRVAN TAT

Murad Suleymanov École pratique des hautes études UMR 7192

INTRODUCTION

Southwestern Iranian language spoken mainly in Azerbaijan, also in Dagestan (Russia), Georgia, and immigrant communities (in Israel, Russia-proper, the United States, etc.)

Different from Tati (a cluster of Northwestern Iranian languages spoken in Iranian Azerbaijan

Number of speakers unknown; probably several tens of thousands (down from ca. 100,000 in the late 19th c.)

Nominative-accusative alignment

INTRODUCTION

Two main varieties: Judæo-Tat (smaller, written, welldescribed) and Muslim Tat (larger, non-written, under-described); little to no mutual intelligibility between them

Muslim Tat is divided into four main dialect groups (limited mutual intelligibility) – Upper Şirvan Tat (UŞT) being one of them

In contact with Turkic and East Caucasian, influenced heavily by Azeri (phonology, vocabulary, derivational morphology, subordinate sentence structures, etc.)

> All speakers are bilingual in Azeri

INTRODUCTION





ADPOSITIONS IN UPPER ŞIRVAN TAT

ADPOSITIONS IN UPPER ŞIRVAN TAT

Simple and compound

> Why "adpositions"?

Examples below will show that historical prepositions can be preposed and postposed to nominal dependents



SIMPLE ADPOSITIONS

SIMPLE ADPOSITIONS

> All have cognates in Modern Persian



SIMPLE ADPOSITIONS

- (1) bə häyot ye kärg=i hi
 LOC yard one chicken=IDF EXIST2/3
 'There is a chicken in the yard.'
- (2) fürmo-re ä äs descend-PRF2/3 from horse 'He dismounted from his horse.'
- (i) be män ijozä ti ki vo ti bi-rom
 LOC I permission (IMP)give:2 SUB with you SBJV-come.1
 'Allow me to come with you.'



Grammaticalised prepositional groups / adverbs of place (incomplete list):

ba are 'among' (*ara* 'gap')

bä birun 'outside of' (birun 'outdoors')

bə darun 'inside of' (darun 'indoors')

bä kinor 'near, beside' (kinor 'edge')

bä mingäh 'in the middle of' (mingäh 'middle')

bä pišö 'in front of' (pišö 'front')

bä pišt 'behind' (pišt 'back')

bə sär 'on top of' (sär 'head')

bə tän 'toward, at, next to' (tän[†] 'body')

bä zir 'under' (zir 'bottom')

vo/ve darun 'through'

vo/ve ruz 'after, following' (?*ruz* 'day')

Can be used as adverbs in the absence of a dependent:

(ii) $d\ddot{a}smol=a$ $ba-\check{s}un-dan=im$ ba darun,towel=OBL IPFV-throw-PRS-1PL LOC indoors $d\ddot{a}r=\ddot{a}$ bas-tan=imdoor=OBL close-PRS=1PL

'We throw the towel inside and we close the door.'

- > Two strategies:
 - > ezafe
 - > oblique-marked

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS - EZAFE

> Bares resemblance to the Persian ezafe structure:

kor xuna work home 'housework'

xune mällö home.EZ mullah 'mullah's house'

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS — EZAFE

Compound adpositions preposed to their dependents:

(3) bə_darun q\u00e4zit=i\u00e5 ye jif qalo\u00e5 poy vor-de inside newspaper=ADD one pair people share bring-PRF2/3
'And inside the newspaper, he brought a pair of clogs as a present.'

(4) bə_sär qäbr-ho nöš-tond
on tomb-PL write-PRF3PL
'It is written on the tombstones.'

(5) ['May God always send you bread to your door...'] bä dür nä=dö-yi vo_ruz nun LOC far NEG=(SBJV)run-2 following bread '...so that you do not have to run far to get it!'

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS - EZAFE

Compound adpositions preposed to their dependents:

(6) daraf-tanbə babo=ra bä_zir qəravot=i enter-PQP3 grandfather=OBL under bed=POS3
'He would get under the grandfather's bed.'

(iii) *pärt bä-bi-rän dä ba_are jamaat* embarrassed IPFV-be-PRS:3 DM among people 'He became embarrassed in front of the people.'

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS — OBLIQUE-MARKED

Resembles the oblique possessive construction
 oblique marker (*r*)*ä* + possessive marker

mä\$lim=äkitob=iteacher=OBLbook=POS3'a/the teacher's book'imun=asäy=munwe=OBLdog=POS1PL'our dog' (lit. 'of us our dog')

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS - OBLIQUE-MARKED

- > Dependent acts as the morphological possessor:
- (9) ye däqqä=rä bə_darun=i här kor dägiš bɨ
 one minute=OBL inside=POS3 each work [changing] be.PST3
 'Within one minute, everything changed.'
- (10) tojir lüt_Süryun taxta=rä bə_sär=i xisi-re merchant naked.STR board=OBL on=POS3 fall_asleep-PRF2/3
 'The merchant, naked as a jaybird, is sleeping on the board.'
- (11) $yek=i=r\ddot{a}$ $ve_ruz=i$ hazor-to biye one=POS3=OBL following=POS3 thousand-QNT SBJV.come:2/3 'Following one of them, may a thousand come!'

COMPOUND ADPOSITIONS - OBLIQUE-MARKED

> Dependent acts as the morphological possessor:

(12) qurbun bu-bur-um ü=rä bä_zir=i sacrifice SBJV-cut-1 s/he=OBL under=POS3
'I would slaughter a sacrificial lamb under it.'



PLACEHOLDER CONSTRUCTION

PLACEHOLDER CONSTRUCTION

- > Term coined for Middle Persian by Jügel (to appear)
 - an enclitic pronoun in its usual position followed by a preposition marked by a third-person 'expletive pronoun'
 - the latter does not refer to an argument but instead secures the position of the fronted pronoun after the preposition

(13) $u=\check{s}$ $d\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{o} - \bar{e}k$ merd ud $\bar{e}k$ zan – and=s/he creature two one man and one woman $az=i\check{s}$ $d\bar{e}s-\bar{a}d$ from=EXPL build-2PL.SBJV 'and [he] shall form two creatures – a man and a woman – out of it' [Jügel, to app.]

PLACEHOLDER CONSTRUCTION

- Similar construction in UŞT
 - \succ third-person pronoun \ddot{u} as expletive pronoun
 - > (NB. fused forms $b\ddot{a} + \ddot{u} > b\ddot{o}$ and $vo/ve + \ddot{u} > v\ddot{o}$)
- (14) in xö=rä äz=ü bendäm vogah bi-r-um this dream=OBL from=s/he at.this.moment awake be-PST-1
 'At this very moment, I woke up from this dream.'
- (15) hämum=a äz=ü dü-to min-de bathhouse=OBL from=s/he two-QNT stay-PRF2/3
 'There are two of the bathhouses left.'
- (16) *ye* $k\ddot{a}l\ddot{a}$ $qa\check{z}qun=i=r\ddot{a}$ $b\ddot{o}$ $o\check{s}$ hist=ione big.ATR pot=IDF=OBL LOC.s/he cooked.rice EXIST=2/3 'There is cooked rice in one big pot.'



Placeholder construction and oblique-marked construction are both dependent-final

However, they are different!

> Placeholder construction:

 \succ is only possible with the third person

män=ä bə_darun=i 'inside me' **män=ä äz=män* 'from me'

> requires a personal pronoun ('expletive') and not a possessive clitic $\hbar \ddot{a}mum = a \ \ddot{a}z = \ddot{u}$ 'from the bathhouse' $*\hbar \ddot{a}mum = a \ \ddot{a}z = i$ 'from the bathhouse'

Placeholder construction – relic feature of Middle Persian origin or recent development due to Turkic influence?

- Placeholder construction is typical only for UŞT
 absent in Judaeo-Tat and in all other Muslim dialects, including some UŞT-speaking villages
 - attested in Lahic and Əhən but notably absent in Gombori where migrants from the former two settled in the early 20th c.
 - THEREFORE: probably a recent development motivated by contact with Azeri, a language with no prepositions and a rich set of postpositions and case suffixes
 - made possible by analogy with oblique-marked constructions
 - >due to constraints, simple adpositions could not be combined with possessive markers and a more typical 'simple adposition + personal pronoun' formula was chosen

CONCLUSION

Elicitations and spontaneous corpus analysis indicate lack of substantial semantic differences between preposed and postposed constructions in Tat

Similar contact-induced phenomena are attested in other Iranian languages, namely Balochi:

dialects of Balochi in contact with postpositional Indo-Aryan shifted to postpositional constructions either entirely (e.g. Karachi Balochi) or partially, resulting in a parallel use of prepositions and postpositions (Farrell 2003: 196)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

FARRELL, Tim (2003). 'Linguistic Influences on the Balochi Spoken in Karachi'. In: JAHANI, Carina & KORN, Agnes, eds. *The Baloch and Their Neighbours. Ethnic and Linguistic Contact in Balochistan in Historical and Modern Times*. Reichert; pp. 169–210.

JAHANI, Carina & KORN, Agnes (2009). 'Balochi'. In: WINDFUHR, Gernot, ed. *The Iranian Languages*. Routledge Language Family Series. Routledge; pp. 634–92.

JÜGEL, Thomas (to appear). 'Enclitic Pronouns in Middle Persian and the Placeholder Construction'. In: JAAFARI-DEHAGHI, Mahmoud, ed. *Professor Mansour Shaki Memorial Volume*. Tehran: Center for the Great Islamic Encyclopaedia.

KORN, Agnes. 'A partial tree of Central Iranian: A new look at Iranian subphyla'. *Indogermanische Forschungen*, De Gruyter, 2016, 121 (1), pp. 401-434

STILO, Donald (2005). 'Iranian as a Buffer Zone between Turkic and Semitic'. In: CSATÓ, Éva Ágnes et al., eds. *Linguistic Convergence and Areal Diffusion*. RoutledgeCurzon; pp. 35–63.



BĒ DIQQÄTŠMUN QE SOĞ BOŠIND!