

# **Distributed Teacher and Leader Education**

### **Disciplinary Standards Form – TESOL Education Program**

**TESOL'S P-12 PROFESSIONAL Standards – Performance Evidence** 

Teacher Candidate:				USBID:		
Со	operating Tead	cher o	r			
Un	iversity Instru	ctor: _		Grade:		
0	Methods I	0	Methods II	Student Teaching Placement:	O P-6	O 7-12

DIRECTIONS:

The Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) requires all accredited education programs to provide performance evidence showing how well teacher candidates can plan and deliver instruction aligned with the TESOL Professional Standards. This form is one means for gathering this information. Stony Brook instructors use this form to evaluate lesson and unit planning in the methods courses, and it is also used to assess the classroom performance of our student teachers.

We ask that all cooperating teachers complete this form for their student teachers at the end of the placement. Since cooperating teachers are in the best position to assess the ability of the student teacher to meet the standards in an authentic classroom setting, feedback from cooperating teachers is especially important for the continued development of our teacher candidate. This form also provides the TESOL program with useful information about the strengths and weaknesses of our program.

#### FOR COOPERATING TEACHERS

On the following pages, please check the box which best reflects the teacher candidate's ability to plan and deliver instruction pertaining to the individual standards. Student teacher performance should be assessed in relation to standards for beginning teachers. We strongly encourage the use of narrative comments to expand upon candidate strengths and weaknesses in the individual standards. We also appreciate your willingness to provide narrative comments.

## RUBRIC

1	2	3	4
Does not meet standards Does not address the standard,	Minimally meets standards Addresses the standard, but	Meets standards Standard is addressed where	Exceeds standards Standard is addressed where
does not understand the standard or use it properly in a lesson or unit where it should be taught, and/or does not adequately design instruction with respect to this standard.	may not adequately capture the significance of the standard for the topic being taught and/or effectively communicate it to the students.	appropriate in the unit or course and the main underlying ideas are effectively communicated to the students.	appropriate and the main understandings are communicated by relating them to the topic in a sophisticated manner and employing well- conceived learning activities.

## TESOL'S PK-12 PROFESSIONAL Standards – Performance Evidence

#### STANDARD 1: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT LANGUAGE

Candidates demonstrate knowledge of English language structures, English language use, second language acquisition and development, and language processes to help English Language Learners (ELLs) acquire academic language and literacies specific to various content areas.

**1a**. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of English language structures in different discourse contexts to promote acquisition of reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills across content areas. Candidates serve as language models for ELLs.

	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
Evidence in Planning	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
Evidence in Teaching	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	Does Not Meet Standards
	•

#### Comments

**1b.** Candidates demonstrate knowledge of second language acquisition theory and developmental process of language to set expectations for and facilitate language learning.

	Exceeds Standards
Evidence in Planning	
Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
Evidence in Teaching	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	Does Not Meet Standards

Comments

**1c.** Candidates demonstrate knowledge of language processes (e.g., interlanguage and language progressions) to facilitate and monitor ELLs' language learning in English.

Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	Does Not Meet Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards

	<ul> <li>Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments		
<b>1d.</b> Candidates apply knowledge of English academic language functions, learning domains, content-specific language and discourse structures, and vocabulary to		
promote ELLs' academic achievement across content areas.		
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Evidence in Teaching	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>	
	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments		

#### STANDARD 2: ELLs IN THE SOCIOCULTURAL CONTEXT

Candidates demonstrate and apply knowledge of the impact of dynamic academic, personal, familial, cultural, social, and sociopolitical contexts on the education and language acquisition of ELLs as supported by research and theories. Candidates investigate the academic and personal characteristics of each ELL, as well as family circumstances and literacy practices, to develop individualized, effective instructional and assessment practices for their ELLs. Candidates recognize how educator identity, role, culture, and biases impact the interpretation of ELLs' strengths and needs.

**2a.** Candidates demonstrate knowledge of how dynamic academic, personal, familial, cultural, and social contexts, including sociopolitical factors, impact the education of ELLs.

	Exceeds Standards
Evidence in Planning	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
	Exceeds Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Comments	

Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         Evidence in Planning       • Meets Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards         • Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards         Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards         2c. Candidates devise and implement methods to understand each ELL''s academic characteristics, including background knowledge, educational history, and current performance data, to develop effective, individualized instructional and assessment practices for their ELLs.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards	<b>2b.</b> Candidates demonstrate knowledge of linguistic diversity and equity that promote		
Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards <b>2c.</b> Candidates devise and implement methods to understand each ELL's academic characteristics, including background knowledge, educational history, and current performance data, to develop effective, individualized instructional and assessment practices for their ELLs.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         • Mietts Standards       • Meets Standards         • Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Moets Standards         • Comments       • Meets Standards         2d. Candidates devise and implement methods to learn about personal characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use, literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         • Miets Standards       • Meets Standards	ELLs. Evidence in Planning	<ul><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	
characteristics, including background knowledge, educational history, and current performance data, to develop effective, individualized instructional and assessment practices for their ELLs.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         Evidence in Planning       • Meets Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         Comments       • Meets Standards         Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards         Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards         Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Meets Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards		<ul><li>Exceeds Standards</li><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Meets Standards         <ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Monimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul> </li> <li>Comments</li> </ul> 2d. Candidates devise and implement methods to learn about personal characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use, literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices. Evidence in Planning <ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> </ul>	characteristics, including background knowledge, educational history, and current performance data, to develop effective, individualized instructional and assessment		
Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         Comments       • Does Not Meet Standards         2d. Candidates devise and implement methods to learn about personal characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use, literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Meets Standards         • Minimally Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards	Evidence in Planning	<ul><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	
Comments         2d. Candidates devise and implement methods to learn about personal characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use, literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices.         Evidence in Planning       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards	Evidence in Teaching	<ul><li>Exceeds Standards</li><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	
characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use, literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices.Evidence in Planning• Exceeds Standards • Meets Standards 	Comments		
<ul> <li>Evidence in Planning</li> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	characteristics of the individual ELL (e.g., in and their family (e.g., language use, literac	nterests, motivations, strengths, needs)	
		<ul><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	
Evidence in Teaching       • Exceeds Standards         • Meets Standards       • Minimally Meets Standards         • Does Not Meet Standards       • Does Not Meet Standards         Comments       • Other Standards		<ul><li>Exceeds Standards</li><li>Meets Standards</li><li>Minimally Meets Standards</li></ul>	

**2e.** Candidates identify and describe the impact of his/her identity, role, cultural understandings, and personal biases and conscious knowledge of U.S. culture on his/her interpretation of the educational strengths and needs of individual ELLs and ELLs in general.

Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>	
	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments		

#### **STANDARD 3: PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTING INSTRUCTION**

Candidates plan supportive environments for ELLs, design and implement standardsbased instruction using evidence-based, ELL-centered, interactive approaches. Candidates make instructional decisions by reflecting on individual ELL outcomes and adjusting instruction. Candidates demonstrate understanding of the role of collaboration with colleagues and communication with families to support their ELLs' acquisition of English language and literacies in the content areas. Candidates use and adapt relevant resources, including appropriate technology, to effectively plan, develop, implement, and communicate about instruction for ELLs.

**3a.** Candidates plan for culturally and linguistically relevant, supportive environments that promote ELLs' learning. Candidates design scaffolded instruction of language and literacies to support standards and curricular objectives for ELLs in the content areas.

	Exceeds Standards
Evidence in Planning	Meets Standards
5	Minimally Meets Standards
	•
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
	Exceeds Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Comments	

2h Condidates instruct ELLs using suiden	as based student centered
<b>3b.</b> Candidates instruct ELLs using eviden	
developmentally appropriate interactive ap	
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
Comments	
	ns after critical reflection on individual ELLs'
learning outcomes in both language and co	
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Comments	
<b>3d.</b> Candidates plan strategies to collaboration	ate with other educators, school personnel,
and families in order to support their ELLs'	learning of language and literacies in the
content areas.	
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards
-	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	Minimally Meets Standards
	Does Not Meet Standards
Comments	

**3e.** Candidates use and adapt relevant materials and resources, including digital resources, to plan lessons for ELLs, support communication with other educators, school personnel, and ELLs and to foster student learning of language and literacies in the content areas.

Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards
	Meets Standards
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
Commonto	

Comments

### STANDARD 4: ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

Candidates apply assessment principles to analyze and interpret multiple and varied assessments for ELLs, including classroom-based, standardized, and language proficiency assessments. Candidates understand how to analyze and interpret data to make informed decisions that promote English language and content learning. Candidates understand the importance of communicating results to other educators, ELLs, and ELLs" families.

**4a.** Candidates apply knowledge of validity, reliability, and assessment purposes to analyze and interpret student data from multiple sources, including norm-referenced and criterion-referenced tests. Candidates make informed instructional decisions that support language learning.

Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
Evidence in Teaching	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>
Comments	

**4b.** Candidates demonstrate understanding of classroom-based formative, summative, and diagnostic assessments scaffolded for both English language and content assessment. Candidates determine language and content learning goals based on assessment data.

Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>
	Meets Standards

	1	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Comments		
<b>4c.</b> Candidates demonstrate knowledge of		
considerations, accessibility features, and a	accommodations appropriate to ELLs for	
standardized assessments.		
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments	·	
4d. Candidates demonstrate understanding	g of how English language proficiency	
assessment results are used for identificati	on, placement, and reclassification.	
Evidence in Planning	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments		
STANDARD 5: PROFESSIONALISM AND LEADERSHIP		

Candidates demonstrate professionalism and leadership by collaborating with other educators, knowing policies and legislation and the rights of ELLs, advocating for ELLs and their families, engaging in self-assessment and reflection, pursuing continuous professional development, and honing their teaching practice through supervised teaching.

<b>5a.</b> Candidates demonstrate knowledge of effective collaboration strategies in order to plan ways to serve as a resource for ELL instruction, support educators and school staff, and advocate for ELLs.		
Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Comments		
<b>5b.</b> Candidates apply knowledge of school, district, and governmental policies and		
legislation that impact ELLs" educational rig		
	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Planning	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
	Exceeds Standards	
Evidence in Teaching	Meets Standards	
	Minimally Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Comments		
<b>5c.</b> Candidates practice self-assessment and reflection, make adjustments for self-		
improvement, and plan for continuous profe language learning and teaching.		
	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	Exceeds Standards	
	Meets Standards	
	<ul> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> </ul>	
	Does Not Meet Standards	
Comments		

<b>5d.</b> Candidates engage in supervised teaching to apply and develop their professional practice using self-reflection and feedback from their cooperating teachers and supervising faculty.		
Evidence in Planning	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Evidence in Teaching	<ul> <li>Exceeds Standards</li> <li>Meets Standards</li> <li>Minimally Meets Standards</li> <li>Does Not Meet Standards</li> </ul>	
Comments		
Please provide us with narrative comments expanding upon the strengths and weaknesses of our teacher candidate in the space below.		