

CAMPUS REPORT Student Voting Rates for Stony Brook University

002838.00

WHAT'S INSIDE

About This Report

Your Students' Voting Rates

Rate Breakdown

By Age Group By Education Level By Field of Study

By Voting Method

How Your Institution's **Numbers Compare**



College of Citizenship and Public Service

About This Report

Thank you for participating in the National Study of Learning, Voting, and Engagement (NSLVE), housed at the Jonathan M. Tisch College of Citizenship and Public Service, Tufts University. Since NSLVE's launch in 2013, more than 750 colleges and universities have signed up to receive their voting rates for the 2012 and 2014 elections. Participating colleges and universities are located in 48 states and represent all Carnegie classifications as well as socially and economically diverse students. With others, your institution's participation in this study has allowed us to build a robust database of over 6.7 million college student records that is already serving as a foundation for innovative research on college student political learning and engagement in democracy.

Looking Ahead

In response to our survey, many of you requested resources as well as stories about what other campuses are doing. Over the coming months, NSLVE will be releasing tools and resources that you and others can use to enhance political learning and engagement in democracy on your campus. Here is a sneak peek into some of this work.

□ Campus Discussion Guide: A tool for conversations on your campus regarding how to use the NSLVE report to spark change.

□ *National Data*: We'll be sharing data about the national landscape for college student voting.

□ Comparison Data: We know campuses are interested in learning more about how their voting compares to other similar institutions. We'll be rolling out aggregate comparison data for groups of participating campuses.

□ *Case Studies:* We've been on the road, visiting campuses with unpredicted, high voting rates. We will be sharing the findings from our studies of campus climates for student political learning and engagement in democracy.

Diversity & Democracy: In December, in partnership with the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU), we will publish a special issue on college student political learning and engagement in democracy.

If you or someone else on your campus would like to receive more information about our research and resources, please sign up here.

About the Data

The voter registration and voting rates in this report reflect the percentage of your institution's students who were eligible to vote and who actually voted in the 2012 and 2014 elections. These data are based on enrollment records your institution submitted to the National Student Clearinghouse ("Clearinghouse") and publicly available voting records collected by Catalist.

Using enrollment records submitted on a date closest to the election, we removed students under 18 on Election Day. We also deducted an estimated number of students identified by your institution as non-resident aliens. This is based on the percentage of non-resident aliens reported by your institution to the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), and is more reliable than the demographic data campuses provide to the Clearinghouse at this time. Please note that students who blocked the use of their information under FERPA were also removed from the enrollment lists by the Clearinghouse.

When combining two datasets, matching errors can occur. That said, for each record, we receive a "confidence score." The average confidence score for the student records in our database is 96.6%. Nonetheless, some errors are still possible:

• On page three, we indicate the number of students who blocked their records from being used for any purpose, including research. If those students voted at a much lower or higher rate than your other students, it might affect the voting rate.

• Students not found in the voting database are considered non-voters, but we have no way to remove individual noncitizens (resident aliens and non-resident aliens). We use an estimate based on IPEDS data to account for your international students. We cannot adjust for undocumented students. This may result in your actual voting rate being higher than we report. To recalculate that percentage, see our FAQ on campus reports.

Reviewing the Data

Please use the following key to interpret the charts below:

- * = number of students is less than 10
- zero results in this field

For some colleges and universities, this report will contain some categories for which data is "unknown." These likely reflect the amount or quality of the data the institution provides, a problem that can be corrected by more comprehensive reporting to the Clearinghouse.

Your Students' Voting and Registration Rates

2012 Voting Rate			2014 Voting Rate 13%		
2012 Presidential Election			Mid	2014 term Electio	on
74.0% Registration Rate	58.4% Rate of Registered Students who Voted	43.2% Voting Rate	62.8% Registration Rate	20.2% Rate of Registered Students who Voted	12.7% Voting Rate
Registration	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting	Registration	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting
Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate	Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate
Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate 22,066	Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate 26,462
Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate 22,066 791	Registration Rate Total student enroll Ineligible to vote be	Rate of Registered Students who Voted ment ecause too young on-resident aliens	Voting Rate 26,462 2,125
Registration Rate	Rate of Registered Students who Voted	Voting Rate 22,066 791 3,306	Registration Rate Total student enroll Ineligible to vote be IPEDS estimated n	Rate of Registered Students who Voted ment ecause too young on-resident aliens	Voting Rate 26,462 2,125 4,109

BY AGE GROUP

Your students broken down by age at the time of the election. Please note that we are not able to adjust these voting rates by removing non-resident aliens.

2012 **Presidential Election**



AGE GROUP	ENROLLED	VOTED
18-21	9,959	3,098
22-24	4,602	1,658
25-29	3,375	1,240
30-39	2,053	890
40-49	787	508
50+	474	364
Unknown	*	-

21.0% 9.5% 11.6% 33.9% 45.6% 22-24 25-29 30-39 40-49 50+ AGE GROUP ENROLLED VOTED 18-21 11,530 664 5,632 536 22-24 3,766 435 25-29 30-39 2,118 444 40-49 802 272

50+	469	214
Unknown	-	-

2014 **Midterm Election**



BY EDUCATION LEVEL

Your students broken down by undergraduate and graduate students. Please note that we are not able to adjust these voting rates by removing non-resident aliens.



BY FIELD OF STUDY

Your students broken down by field of study. Please note that we are not able to adjust these voting rates by removing non-resident aliens.

	2012 Presidential Election			2014 Midterm Election		
	Enrolled	Voted	Turnout	Enrolled	Voted	Turnout
Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, and Related Sciences	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biological and Biomedical Sciences	2,527	702	27.8%	2,740	132	4.8%
Business, Management, Marketing, and Related Support	328	157	47.9%	310	47	15.2%
Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs	*	*	33.3%	_	-	-
Computer and Information and Library Sciences	226	30	13.3%	988	51	5.2%
Education	96	68	70.8%	42	18	42.9%
Engineering	162	22	13.6%	426	26	6.1%
Health Professions and Related Sciences, Knowledge, and Skills	1,324	404	30.5%	1,676	94	5.6%
Humanities	847	418	49.4%	634	120	18.9%
Liberal Arts and Sciences, General Studies, and Humanities	436	233	53.4%	232	54	23.3%
Mathematics and Statistics	378	88	23.3%	461	21	4.6%
Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	66	26	39.4%	21	*	9.5%
Parks and Recreation	_	-	-	-	-	-
Physical Sciences	739	207	28.0%	811	70	8.6%
Professions	154	111	72.1%	138	42	30.4%
Psychology, Personal Awareness, and Self-Improvement	1,463	598	40.9%	1,450	120	8.3%
Social Sciences	1,122	425	37.9%	1,196	128	10.7%
Technologies/Technicians	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trades	-	-	-	14	*	28.6%
Visual and Performing Arts	239	91	38.1%	284	29	10.2%

BY VOTING METHOD

Your students broken down by voting method. Please note that we are not able to adjust these voting rates by removing non-resident aliens. If your campus has a high percentage of "unknown" voting methods, it means that this information is not reported by local officals.

Presidential Election				
1.6% Absentee	0.2% Early Vote	0.2% Mail	1.1% In-Person Election Day	96.8% , Unknown
	ETHOD	EN	ROLLED	VOTED
Absentee			22,066	122
Early Vote			22,066	19
Mail			22,066	17
In-Person, Election Day			22,066	87
Unknown			22,066	7,513

2012

1.0% 0.4% 0.4% 1.7% 96.5% Absentee Early Mail In-Person, Unknown

VOTING METHOD	ENROLLED	VOTED
Absentee	26,462	25
Early Vote	26,462	11
Mail	26,462	11
In-Person, Election Day	26,462	44
Unknown	26,462	2,474

Day

2014 Midterm Election

How Your Numbers Compare

BY CARNEGIE CLASSIFICATION

See how your campus voting rate compares to others in your Carnegie classification. This comparison data allows your campus to understand your individual voting rates in the context of similar institutions. Please note that we are not able to adjust these voting rates by removing non-resident aliens.

2014

Midterm Election



Presidential Election

2012

18.4%

Private

Research

Institutions