Sustainable Gas Systems- New Business Models

Manure-based Anaerobic Digestion In New York State: Where we were, Where we are, and Where we can be

Peter Wright Agricultural Engineer Curt Gooch

Dairy Environmental Systems Engineer Cornell University www.manuremanagement.cornell.edu PRODAIRY

20 Years of Variability

DFBS Farms, New York State, 1997 - 2016



PRODUCTION COST BY HERD SIZE 168 New York Dairy Farms, 2015



■ Operating Cost of Production ■ Depreciation □ Value of Family Resources



Manure Based Anaerobic Digestion

- Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Odor Reduction
- Conservation of Crop Nutrients
- Improvement in Crop Utilization of Manure Nutrients
- Improvement of Water Quality Protection

Manure Based Anaerobic Digestion

- Generation of Renewable Fuel/Energy
- Revenue Potential
- Pathogen Reduction
- Pre-treatment (for advanced manure treatment)
- Co-digestion (landfill organic matter diversion)

Despite all of the benefits anaerobic digestion provides to farms and society, adoption has not been wide spread due to economic challenges.

Existing On-Farm Digesters facing major overhauls are reluctant to reinvest

Electricity sold at the LMP – Demand Charges

Anaerobic Digestion

- Odor Control
- Pathogen Reduction
- Renewable Energy
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction
- Water Quality Protection
- Fertilizer for Field Crops
- Low Cost Manure Application
- Nutrient Conc./Exportation



n



Farm-based Anaerobic Digestion System Dairy Environmental Systems Program <u>https://prodairy.cals.comell.edu/</u> www.manuremanagement.comell.edu





AD technology is readily available to dairy farms making farmers well-positioned to be key partners with the State in achieving its *renewable energy*, *greenhouse gas reduction*, *landfill organic matter diversion*, and *economic development* goals. Development of a manure-based anaerobic digestion industry in NYS is much more than just about renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction, *it is as importantly about helping to position the NYS dairy industry to be viable and competitive in the years to come*.

Baseline Emissions from Dairy Farm with No Renewable Energy System (Per cow per year)





Considerations in Calculating Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) Saved for Manure-based ADG Systems

- EPA SCC = $47.82/MTCO_2e$
 - Average 2017-2019 value used by PSC in Zero Emission Credit From EPA at 3% discount value and adjusted for inflation
- Base Condition: The GHG emissions of the existing system
 - Assumed to be long-term slurry storage (representative of NYS dairy industry situation)
- Losses from ADG technology that may be included



Basis of Calculating Social Cost of Carbon Saved for Manure-based ADG Systems

- Fossil fuel avoided:

- ((Total kWh-Parasitic kWh) x 0.000526 MT CO₂eq. per kWh)
- Parasitic electrical energy accounted for electrical energy *specifically* used to operate an ADG system
 - 0.000526 MT CO₂eq. per kWh (NYS specific value)
- SCC Saved = \$47.82 x (Base Condition -Σ(Losses) + Fossil fuel avoided)



Scenarios

- CONSERVATIVE values are those for a digester under normal management with no emphasis on GHG control
- OBTAINABLE values are those for a digester under normal management with an emphasis on GHG Control
- OPTIMUM values are those for a digester under excellent management with an emphasis on GHG control

ADG System with Solid-Liquid Separation

(MT CO₂e cow⁻¹ yr⁻¹ unless otherwise noted)



OBTAINABLE ADG System (includes postdigestion solid- separation) and Emphasis on GHG Control

	Units	Obt
Fossil Fuels Avoided	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	0.99
Engine unburnt CH ₄	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	3.1E-03
Flare unburnt CH ₄	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	0.03
ADSystem Leaks CH ₄	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	0.14
Storage emissions CH ₄	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	1.87
Total CO ₂ eq	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	1.06
Baseline	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	4.00
Reduction in CO ₂ eq	MT CO ₂ eq/cow/yr	2.94
SCC Benefit	\$ per cow year	\$140.52
Gross Electricity produced	kWh/cow/year	1955
Social Cost of Carbon saved	\$ per cow year	\$ 141
Value of E	\$/kWh	\$ 0.072
		,

Public Service Commission REV

 $E_{value} = Fossil fuels avoided$

Unwilling to look upstream Reductions Augmentations



	Capacity factor	GHG reductions factor	Total capital cost	Adjusted total capital cost ⁴			
Wind	0.25 ^a	1 x (Fossil fuel avoided)	\$3.2 million/MW ²	12.8 million/MW			
Solar	0.15 ^a	1x (Fossil fuel avoided)	\$3.8 million/MW ³	25.3 million/MW			
AD	0.90 ^b	3x (Fossil fuel avoided and captured/combusted CH ₄ emissions) ⁵	\$7.2 million/MW ¹	8.0 million/MW			
^a Source: NYISO, 2017 ^b Source: Biogas Weser-ems, 2014 ¹ Price in 2012 dollars							

²Price for commercial level installation, in 2012 dollars

³Price for small commercial upstate, in 2012 dollars

⁴Capital cost adjusted for capacity factor to show capital cost per average MW production.

⁵Manure-based systems only

(Source: Pronto et al., 2017)

Initiatives

Governor's Goals

- 50% reduction of Renewable Energy
- 40% reduction in GHG Emissions
- \$0.12 kWhr Tariff for On-Farm Anaerobic Digestion
- NYSERDA Aggregation of Design and Operation
- Diversion of Organic Waste from Landfills
- Demand Charge Reduction
- Food Distributed Generation (CDG like)

Dairy Cows Supplying Anaerobic Digesters and Associated In-place Generation Capacity (kW) in New York



Cumulative Generation Capacity (kW)

Incremental Cost of Implementing Dairy Sustainability by increasing the price of Exported Renewable Electricity from Manure based Anaerobic Digesters

							Incremental
							Increase/kWh
							consumed in
	Date	Cows	KW	# systems	MWh/yr.	Total cost/yr.	NYS
Estimated	2019	43,000	13,578	30	59,695	\$2,900,604	\$0.000021
All Large							
Farms							
	2025	301,101	74,222	156	564,375	\$27,422,987	\$0.00019
All Medium							
Farms							
	2030	364,066	89,016	229	687,494	\$33,405,343	\$0.00024

If the Economic Problem was Fixed... 229 NYS Operating AD Systems would...

- Reduce GHG emissions by 1,000,000 MTC0₂e annually, sufficient to remove 225,500 cars from the highway annually
- Generate 528,000 MWh of electricity annually
- <u>Extensive</u> off-farm organic matter imported for codigestion increasing GHG reductions and renewable energy generation



Peter Wright pew2@cornell.edu